

pregnancies, malaria and some medical conditions, are all factors increasing the risk of delivering a low-birth-weight baby.<sup>22</sup> Eleven per cent of newborns (12.5 million infants) are low birth weight at term.<sup>23</sup> In Africa, 8.9% of children have a low birth weight.<sup>24</sup> In South Africa, low birth weight has been related to lower social class, occupation of the breadwinner, increased occupational density and poorer family stability.<sup>16</sup> In an informal settlement area in Khayelitsha low-birth-weight children had a 3 times greater risk of being underweight for age and twice the risk of being stunted than children with birth weights higher than 2.5 kg.<sup>25</sup> It can therefore be concluded from the NutriGro data that the low-birth-weight infants had a higher chance of being stunted.

In the NutriGro Study more mothers (36%) than fathers (30%) were the head of the household. Posel<sup>26</sup> confirmed that the risk of poverty is higher in households headed by women than households with a male head. Despite the lower income levels in female-headed households, food security and the nutritional status of the children were higher than in households headed by men, suggesting that more income was spent on the nutritional needs of household members.<sup>26</sup>

Household size in the NutriGro study was similar to the average documented in other South African studies.<sup>3,27</sup> Higher stunting rates were documented in larger households in the NutriGro Study (Table II). Similar to a Mozambican study,<sup>28</sup> larger household size appeared to affect the nutritional status of children negatively.

Economic wealth and the associated influx of people searching for jobs in urban areas of South Africa, coupled with the migrant labour system have led to the widespread practice of children being left in the care of relatives in rural areas.<sup>29</sup> In rural areas the non-stunted children (65%) were more often looked after by mothers than the stunted children (39%). In the urban areas the mother was primarily the main caregiver because of unemployment, whereas rural mothers had to leave their children with other caregivers in order to work in urban areas. Other caregivers, normally grandmothers, took care of the children in the rural areas. This could have influenced the nutritional status of the children negatively because these caregivers could not perform certain maternal functions such as breastfeeding. However the issue is more complex as more stunted children in the rural areas were breastfed for longer than in the urban areas.

The percentage of obesity among M/Cs (26%) documented in the NutriGro Study was high compared with other countries and World Health Organization (WHO) standards,<sup>13</sup> but comparable to other South African studies.<sup>30,31</sup> In the NutriGro Study a high percentage of stunted children had either an overweight (21%) or obese mother (22%). The issue of an obese M/C with a stunted child was also documented during another study in the Limpopo

Province.<sup>32</sup> The contradiction between overweight M/Cs and stunted children could be the result of an inadequate distribution of food in the household.<sup>33</sup>

The NutriGro Study found that in both areas 74% of the M/Cs breastfed their children for 13 months or more. These results were higher than the national average of 63% and 62% recorded by the NFCS<sup>3</sup> and the SAVACG<sup>4</sup> studies respectively.

Early introduction of water and complementary food as documented in the NutriGro Study is common, as has been reported by other researchers.<sup>34,35</sup> The early introduction of complementary feeds puts the infant at increased risk of both undernutrition and infection. Early introduction of complementary food has been shown to interfere with breastmilk intake and to reduce energy and nutrient intakes.<sup>36</sup> At the same time, the infant's still-immature immune system is exposed to an increased risk of infection through contaminated feeds, setting the undernutrition-infection cycle in motion.<sup>37</sup>

## Conclusions and implications for future research

It is clear from the NutriGro Study that the causes of stunting in the rural and urban areas were multifactorial and complex. While other South African studies have shown higher prevalences of stunting in rural than urban areas, the NutriGro Study has shown that when both communities were poor, the rates of stunting were similar, if not higher, in the urban communities. In addition, our study showed that low birth weight, early complementary feeding and large household size impacted negatively on stunting.

As pointed out, the results reported here are those of the first phase of a multi-phase study. In an attempt to further unravel the complex causes of stunting, data were collected on food security,<sup>38</sup> dietary intake<sup>39,40</sup> and care practices<sup>41,42</sup> from households with and without stunted children, in phase 2 of the study.

To address the problems identified during the NutriGro Study it is clear that well-planned multidisciplinary intervention programmes should include education strategies aimed at improving the knowledge of mothers, caregivers and grandparents on nutrition promotion, including healthy feeding practices. Factors affecting the health and nutrition of children, mothers and pregnant women must also be addressed in intervention programmes.

The study was funded by the National Research Foundation and Technikon Pretoria.

1. World Health Organization. *Health a Precious Asset: Accelerating Follow-up to the World Summit for Social Development*. Geneva: WHO, 2000: 11.
2. ACC/SCN. *Nutrition Throughout the Life Cycle. Fourth Report on the World Nutrition Situation*. Geneva: ACC/SCN, 2000: 9-11.
3. Labadarios D, Steyn N, Maunder E, et al. *The National Food Consumption Survey (NFCS): Children Aged 1 - 9 years, South Africa, 1999*. Pretoria: Department of Health, 2000: 193-206.
4. South African Vitamin A Consultative Group. *Children Aged 6 to 71 Months in South Africa, 1994: Their Anthropometric, Vitamin A, Iron and Immunisation Coverage*

- Status. Isando: SAVACG, 1995: 106, 199-204.
5. UNICEF. *The State of the World's Children*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1998: 33.
  6. Vorster HH, Oosthuizen W, Jerling JC, Veldman FJ, Burger HM. *The Nutritional Status of South Africans: A Review of the Literature from 1975 - 1996*. Part 1 of 2. Durban: Health Systems Trust, 1997: 21-27.
  7. Armar-Klemesu M, Ruel MT, Maxwell DG, Levin CE, Morris SS. *The Constraints to Good Child Care Practices in Accra: Implications for Programs*. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI). Discussion paper 81 Brief. Washington: IFPRI, 2000: 1-2.
  8. Motarjemi Y, Käferstein F, Moy G, Quevedo F. Contaminated complementary food: a major risk factor for diarrhoea and associated malnutrition. In: Dop MC, Benbouzid D, Trèche S, de Benoist B, Verster A, Delpuch F, eds. *Complementary Feeding of Young Children in Africa and the Middle East*. Geneva: WHO, 1999: 75-94.
  9. UNICEF. *The Care Initiative: Assessment, Analysis and Action to Improve Care for Nutrition*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1997: 1-20.
  10. Project for Statistics on Living Standards and Development. *South Africans Rich and Poor: Baseline Household Statistics*. South African Labour and Development Research Unit (SALDRU), School of Economics, University of Cape Town, 1994: 315.
  11. Department of Health, South African Medical Research Council, Macro International Inc., Human Sciences Research Council in collaboration with the Centre for Health Systems Research and Development, 1998. *South African Demographic and Health Survey Interviewer's Manual*.
  12. Dean AG, Dean JA, Coulambier D, et al. *Epi Info Version 6: A Word Processing Database and Statistics Program for Epidemiology on Microcomputers*. Atlanta, Georgia: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1994.
  13. World Health Organization. *Physical Status: The Use and Interpretation of Anthropometry: Report of WHO Expert Committee*. Geneva: WHO, 1995: 8, 452.
  14. SAS Institute Inc. Release 8.01. Cary: North Carolina, 1999 - 2000.
  15. Hatcher L, Stepanski EJ. A step by step approach to using the SAS system for Univariate and Multivariate statistics. Cary: North Carolina: SAS Institute Inc., 1994.
  16. Molteno CD, Hollingshead J, Moodie AD, et al. Growth of pre-school coloured children in Cape Town. *S Afr Med J* 1991; 79: 670-676.
  17. Cameron N, Kgamphe JS, Leschner KF, Farrant PJ. Urban-rural differences in the growth of South African black children. *Ann Hum Biol* 1992; 19: 23-33.
  18. Hautvast JLA, van der Heijden LJM, Luneta AK, van Staveren WA, Tolboom JJM, Gastel SM. Food consumption of young stunted and non-stunted children in rural Zambia. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1999; 53: 50-59.
  19. Adelekan DA. Childhood nutrition and malnutrition in Nigeria. *South African Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2001; 14: 83-87.
  20. Kikafunda JK, Walker AF, Collett D, Tumwine JK. Risk factors for early childhood malnutrition in Uganda. *Pediatrics* 1998; 102(4): 45.
  21. Abate G, Kogi-Makau W, Muroki NM. Hygiene and health-seeking behaviours of households as predictors of nutritional insecurity among preschool children in urban slums in Ethiopia: the case of Addis Ababa. *South African Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2001; 14: 56-60.
  22. Kinabo JL, Kissawike K, Msuya J. Factors influencing birth weight in Morogoro Municipality, Tanzania. *S Afr J Food Sci Nutr* 1997; 9: 3-8.
  23. Flores R. Health and Nutrition. *Emerging and Re-emerging Issues in Developing Countries*. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI). Washington, DC: IFPRI, 2001:2.
  24. De Onis M. *Intrauterine Growth Retardation*. Focus 5, Brief 6 of 11. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI). Washington DC: IFPRI, 2001: 1-2.
  25. Le Roux IM, Le Roux PJ. Survey of the health and nutrition status of a squatter community in Khayelitsha. *S Afr Med J* 1991; 79: 500-503.
  26. Posel D. Counting the poor: who gets what in which households? *Agenda* 1997; 33: 49-60.
  27. Central Statistics. *October Household Survey. Northern Province*. Statistical release P0317.9, 1995: 46.
  28. Garrett JL, Ruel MT. *Are Determinants of Rural and Urban Food Security and Nutritional Status Different? Some Insights from Mozambique*. Food Consumption and Nutrition Division of the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI). Discussion paper 65. Washington, DC: IFPRI, 2001: 5-43.
  29. Central Statistics Services. Living in Gauteng: Selected findings of the 1995 October Household Survey. Pretoria: CSS, 1997: 3.
  30. Lambert EV, Bohlmann I, Kolbe-Alexander T. Be active: physical activity for health in South Africa. *South African Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2001; 14(3): suppl, 12-16.
  31. Kruger HS, Venter CS, Vorster HH. Obesity in African women in the North West Province, South Africa is associated with an increased risk of non-communicable diseases: the THUSA study. *Br J Nutr* 2001; 86: 733-740.
  32. Steyn NP, Nel JH, Kunneke E, et al. Differences between underweight and normal-weight rural preschool children in terms of infant feeding practices and socio-economic factors. *S Afr Med J* 1998; 88: 641-646.
  33. James WPT, Ferro-Luzzi A, Sette S, Mascie-Taylor CGN. The potential use of maternal size in priority setting when combating childhood malnutrition. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1999; 53: 112-119.
  34. Zöllner E, Carlier ND. Breast-feeding and weaning practices in Venda, 1990. *S Afr Med J* 1993; 83: 580-583.
  35. Byarugaba J. The impact of urbanization on the health of black pre-school children in the Umtata district, Transkei. *S Afr Med J* 1991; 79: 444-448.
  36. Dewey KG. Cross-cultural patterns of growth and nutritional status of breast-fed infants. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1998; 67: 10-17.
  37. De Benoist B. Complementary feeding: a challenge to both children and mothers. In: Dop MC, Benbouzid D, Trèche S, de Benoist B, Verster A, Delpuch F. *Complementary Feeding of Young Children in Africa and the Middle East*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1999: 9-14.
  38. Erik M, Albertse EC. Household food insecurity as a potential risk factor for stunting among young children in South Africa. *Ann Nutr Metab* 2001; 45: suppl 1, 526.
  39. Amisshah A. Inadequate dietary intakes as a cause of stunting among young children in rural South Africa. MTech thesis, Technikon Pretoria, 2000.
  40. Theron M. Inadequate dietary intake as a cause of stunting amongst children aged 12 to 24 months living in an informal settlement in Gauteng. MTech thesis, Technikon Pretoria, 2000.
  41. Agboka JA. Quality of care practices of mothers/caregivers and stunting of children between the ages of 12 and 24 months in Gauteng. MTech thesis, Technikon Pretoria, 2000.
  42. Aryee EA. Stunting of young children and the quality of care practices in rural areas. MTech thesis, Technikon Pretoria, 2000.